

KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER & JOHNSON, CHTD.
8985 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
(702) 362-6666

MARTIN J. KRAVITZ, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 83
GINA MUSHMECHE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10411
KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER & JOHNSON, CHTD.
8985 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Tele: (702) 362-6666
Fax: (702) 362-2203
Email: mkravitz@ksjattorneys.com
Email: gmushmeche@ksjattorneys.com
Attorneys for Defendant
Patenaude & Felix, A.P.C.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TRINA ROADHOUSE; SCOTT ROADHOUSE,
Plaintiffs.
vs.
PATENAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C.,
Defendant.

Case No.: 2:13-cv-00560-GMN-CWH

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
CONTINUE PRETRIAL MOTIONS,
RESPONSES, REPLIES, CALENDAR
CALL AND TRIAL (FIRST) [Dkt.75]**

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE PRETRIAL MOTIONS, RESPONSES,
REPLIES, CALENDAR CALL AND TRIAL (FIRST) [Dkt.75]**

Certification: This stipulation is timely filed.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Craig Friedberg, Esq. counsel for plaintiffs and Gina M. Mushmeche, Esq. counsel for defendant that the Jury Trial date currently scheduled for Monday, January 25, 2016 at 8:30 A.M. and the Calendar Call set for Tuesday, January 19, 2016 at 8:30 A.M. in LV Courtroom 7D before the Chief Judge Gloria M. Navarro, be vacated and continued for at least 90 days. This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties have reached a settlement regarding plaintiffs' claims against defendant, that defendant will pay plaintiffs an agreed sum for damages. Additionally the parties agree that plaintiffs are entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs as the prevailing party on the fee-shifting claims alleged ("FDCPA claim" and the "NDTPA claim"). Plaintiffs recognize that defendant is reserving its right to contest an NDTPA fee shifting claim as duplicative and this stipulation is not to

1 prevent defendant from presenting those arguments to the Court should a motion be necessary.
 2 Plaintiffs also assert that they were successful on their other non-fee shifting claims. Defendant
 3 specifically reserves the right to challenge any non-fee-shifting claims for attorney fees and costs.
 4 The parties request the Court maintain jurisdiction over this matter to hear and decide this issue if
 5 such motion for fees and costs becomes necessary.

6 2. The parties agree that this additional time will assist them in working out the details
 7 of the settlement with regard to attorney fees and costs and in the event they are unable to agree, this
 8 will also provide time for the parties to present the issues to the court if it becomes necessary.

9 3. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purpose of delay and the denial
 10 of this request could result in the miscarriage of justice.

11 4. This is the first continuance sought.

12 Respect submitted by:

13 Dated this _13th_ day of January, 2016

Dated this _13th_ day of January, 2016

14
 15 LAW OFFICES OF CRAIG B.
 FRIEDBERG

KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER & JOHNSON
 CHTD.

16
 17 /s/ Craig B. Friedberg, Esq.
 Craig B. Friedberg Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 004606
 4760 South Pecos Road. Suite 103
 Las Vegas, NV 89121

18 /s/ Gina M. Mushmeche, Esq.
 Gina M. Mushmeche, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 10411
 8985 S. Eastern Ave. Suite 200
 Las Vegas, NV 89123

KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER & JOHNSON, CHTD.

8985 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
 (702) 362-6666

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the settlement set forth above between the parties shows that the Plaintiffs were successful and that plaintiffs are the prevailing party for purposes of a fee motion under the fee shifting claims alleged (“FDCPA claim” and the “NDTPA claim”). Plaintiffs recognize that defendant is reserving its right to contest an NDTPA fee shifting claim as duplicative and this stipulation is not to prevent defendant from presenting those arguments to the Court should a motion be necessary. Plaintiffs also assert that they were successful on their other non-fee-shifting claims. Defendant reserves the right to contest non-fee-shifting fees and defendant is not precluded from arguing the reasonableness of the fees and costs plaintiffs request should a motion be necessary. That the Court will maintain jurisdiction of this matter to hear and decide this issue, should such motion for fees and costs be necessary.

IT IS FURTHER HEREBY ORDERED that the Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Consolidated Motions in Limine was due January 14, 2016 and those responses shall be stayed in the light of the parties’ settlement above; that the **Calendar Call** in this matter, currently scheduled for Tuesday, January 19, 2016 at 8:30 AM in LV Courtroom 7D before Chief Judge Gloria M. Navarro, be vacated and continued for at least 90 days, to April 25, 2016 at the hour of 9:00 a.m.; and the **Jury Trial** currently set for Monday, January 25, 2016 at 8:30 AM in LV Courtroom 7D before Chief Judge Gloria M. Navarro, be vacated and continued for at least 90 days, to May 2, 2016 at the hour of 8:30 a.m.

January 15, 2016


 GLORIA M. NAVARRO
 Chief United States District Judge

Submitted by:

/s/ Gina Mushmeche, Esq.
 Gina M. Mushmeche, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 10411
 8985 S. Eastern Ave. Suite 200
 Las Vegas, NV 89123
 Attorney for Defendants

KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER & JOHNSON, CHTD.
 8985 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
 (702) 362-6666